

# UNITED STATES D. ARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

DATE MAILED:

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR APPLICATION NO. WATKINS 09/558,262 04/25/00 EXAMINER QM32/0906 BROWN, M LOTTIE M. WATKINS 110 N STATE STREET PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT RALEIGH NC 27601 3764

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks.

09/06/00

PTO-90C (Rev. 2/95)

1- File Copy

Application 0 ( 5	158,212 Applicant(s) Loffie Walkins
Office Action Summary  Examiner  M; J	Group Art Unit
—The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the co	
Period for Reply	2
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE
<ul> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no e from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the st</li> <li>If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default, expire SIX (6) I</li> <li>Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the a</li> </ul>	tatutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
Status	·
☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on	
☐ This action is FINAL.	•
<ul> <li>Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal ma accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1 1; 45</li> </ul>	atters, <b>prosecution as to the merits is closed</b> in 53 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims	•
☐ Claim(s)	is/are pending in the application.
Of the above claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
□ Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
☑ Claim(s)	
□ Claim(s)————————————————————————————————————	-
□ Claim(s)—	•
Application Papers	requirement.
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTo	O-048
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is ☐	
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the I	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	•
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)	
☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C	. § 11 9(a)-(d).
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority do	
□ received.	
□ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number)	
☐ received in this national stage application from the International Burn	
*Certified copies not received:	•
Attachment(s)	
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s).	☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413
☑ Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892	☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152
□ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948	☐ Other
Office Action Sum	mary
S. Patent and Trademark Office 3-326 (Rev. 9-97)	Part of Paper No.

Application/Control Number: 09/558,262

Art Unit: 3764

### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

2. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The reference numbers must be recited next to the structure element that corresponds to the reference number.

## Specification

- 3. The abstract of the disclosure does not commence on a separate sheet in accordance with 37 CFR 1.52(b)(1). A new abstract of the disclosure is required and must be presented on a separate sheet, apart from any other text.
- 4. Claim 1 is rejected as failing to define the invention in the manner required by 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph.

The claim(s) are narrative in form and replete with indefinite and functional or operational language. The structure which goes to make up the device must be clearly and positively

Art Unit: 3764

specified. The structure must be organized and correlated in such a manner as to present a complete operative device. The claim(s) must be in one sentence form only. Note the format of the claims in the patent(s) cited.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Lubin.

Lubin discloses in figure 1 a support relief hosiery that aids in the support of the knee and leg area.

#### Conclusion

- 7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Teufel, Master and Jobst each discloses a support relief hosiery.
- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Brown whose telephone number is (703) 308-2682.

M. Brown August 14, 2000

Michael A. Brown Primary Examiner

Mihayl a-B